UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
Donald Matthew Hart) Case No: 3:97CR128-02
Data of Brazious Judgment: June 25, 1009) USM No: <u>13027-058</u>) Federal Defender
Date of Previous Judgment: June 25, 1998 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
(Ose Date of East Amended Judgment if Applicable)) Detendant statement
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Re	eduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of \blacksquare the defendant \square the Director $\S 3582(c)(2)$ for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imsubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unit $\S 994(u)$, and having considered such motion,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Previous Guideline Range: to months	Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category:
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELI	
 The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline ra The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less that of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reducts amended guideline range. Other (explain): 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS The defendant was released from the Bureau of Prisons in F pursuant to Amendment 706.	ebruary 2008, and is therefore ineligible for a reduction
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment da IT IS SO ORDERED.	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: October 15, 2009	Frank Pathitien
Effective Date:	Frank D. Whitney United States District Judge